

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 09-115V

Filed: June 22, 2010

Not for Publication

RADHAKRISHNA KUKKILLAYA, *
as Guardian and Conservator for *
MANORAMA A. KUKKILLAYA, *
Protected Person, *

Petitioner, *

Attorneys' Fees and Costs

v. *

SECRETARY OF THE DEPARTMENT *
OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, *

Respondent. *

J. Nicholas. Barth, Charleston, WV, for petitioner.
Glenn A. MacLeod, Washington, DC, for respondent.

MILLMAN, Special Master

DECISION AWARDING ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS¹

On May 27, 2010, petitioner filed an application for attorneys' fees and costs. Petitioner requests a total of **\$47,217.27** in attorneys' fees and costs, consisting of **\$41,401.25** in attorneys' fees and **\$5,816.02** in attorneys' costs. On June 18, 2010, respondent indicated via an email to the

¹ Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the special master's action in this case, the special master intends to post this unpublished decision on the United States Court of Federal Claims's website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-347, 116 Stat. 2899, 2913 (Dec. 17, 2002). Vaccine Rule 18(b) states that all decisions of the special masters will be made available to the public unless they contain trade secrets or commercial or financial information that is privileged and confidential, or medical or similar information whose disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy. When such a decision is filed, petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to delete such information prior to the document's disclosure. If the special master, upon review, agrees that the identified material fits within the banned categories listed above, the special master shall delete such material from public access.

undersigned's law clerk that she will not object to the amount requested. In compliance with General Order #9, petitioner states that he has not incurred any expenses. The court finds the amount requested by petitioner to be reasonable.

The clerk shall enter judgment for **\$47,217.27** and shall direct that the award be in the form of a check made jointly payable to petitioner and Mr. J. Nicholas Barth in the amount of **\$47,217.27**.

In the absence of a motion for review filed pursuant to RCFC Appendix B, the clerk of the court is directed to enter judgment herewith.²

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 22, 2010

Laura D. Millman
Laura D. Millman
Special Master

² Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by each party's filing a notice renouncing the right to seek review.